



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/582,788	06/13/2006	Hitoshi Ono	Q95452	5946
23373 7590 04/21/2011				
SUGHRUE MION, PLLC				
2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W.				
SUITE 800				
WASHINGTON, DC 20037				
EXAMINER				
GOFF II, JOEIN L				
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
1746				
NOTIFICATION DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
04/21/2011		ELECTRONIC		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

sughrue@sughrue.com

PPROCESSING@SUGHRUE.COM

USPTO@SUGHRUE.COM

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/582,788	ONO ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	JOHN L. GOFF II	1746	

All Participants:

(1) JOHN L. GOFF.

(2) Bruce Kramer.

Date of Interview: 14 April 2011

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:

All

Prior art documents discussed:

None

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/John L. Goff/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1746

Status of Application: Under Final Rejection

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: _____

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicants representative asked for clarification regarding why box 3(b) regarding the issue of new matter was checked on the PTOL-303 mailed 4/8/11. Examiner indicated that the proposed amendment that was not entered to insert dependent claim 15 into independent claim 14 MAY introduce a new matter issue regarding claim 16 in its dependency on claim 15 as amended, i.e. without further review of applicants specification it is not clear that a process including three liquid treatments is disclosed. The explanation above was inadvertently omitted in the advisory action mailed 4/8/11.